UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

LESTER LEE,

PLAINTIFF

v.

BROOKSIDE PARK CONDOMINIUM, INC., METROPOLIS (aka MCM, INC.), RAMMY AZOULAY AND LAMONT SAVOY

DEFENDANTS

Case No. 8:24-cv-01205-TJS

DEFENDANTS BROOKSIDE PARK CONDOMINIUM, INC., METROPOLIS (aka MCM, INC.), AND RAMMY AZOULAY'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

Defendants **BROOKSIDE PARK CONDOMINIUM, INC., METROPOLIS** (aka MCM, INC.), AND RAMMY AZOULAY (hereinafter "BPC Defendants" or "Defendant"), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully sets forth the following Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint as follows:

- 1. Denied.
- 2. Admitted.
- 3. Admitted.
- 4. Defendants are without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 4 and therefore it is denied.
 - 5. Admitted.
 - 6. Admitted
- 7. Admitted that Mr. Azoulay was the onsite manager. The remaining allegations are denied.

- 8. Denied.
- 9. Denied.
- 10. Defendants are without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 10 and therefore it is denied.
- 11. Paragraph 11 makes legal allegations; no response is required. Defendants are without knowledge as to the factual allegations of Paragraph 11 and therefore it is denied.
- 12. Defendants are without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 12 and therefore it is denied.
 - 13. Denied.
- 14. Defendants are without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 12 and therefore it is denied.
 - 15. Admitted.
 - 16. Admitted.
 - 17. Denied.
- 18. Defendants are without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 18 and therefore it is denied.
 - 19. Admitted.
- 20. Defendants are without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 18 and therefore it is denied.
- 21. Defendants are without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 21 and therefore it is denied.
 - 22. Denied.
 - 23. Denied.

24.

Denied.

25.	Denied.
26.	Denied.
27.	Denied.
28.	Denied.
29.	Denied.
30.	Denied.
31.	Denied.
32.	Denied.
33.	Denied.
34.	Denied.
35.	Denied.
36.	Denied.
37.	Denied.
38.	Defendants are without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 38 and
therefore it	is denied.
39.	Denied.
40.	Denied.
41.	Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 41 and therefore
it is denied	
42.	Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 42 and therefore
it is denied	

- 43. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 43 and therefore it is denied.
- 44. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 44 and therefore it is denied.
- 45. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 45 and therefore it is denied.
- 46. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 46 and therefore it is denied.
- 47. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 47 and therefore it is denied.
- 48. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 48 and therefore it is denied.
 - 49. Denied.
- 50. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 50 and therefore it is denied.
- 51. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 51 and therefore it is denied.
- 52. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 52 and therefore it is denied.
- 53. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 53 and therefore it is denied.
- 54. Defendant is without knowledge as to the allegations of Paragraph 54 and therefore it is denied.

- 55. Denied.
- 56. Denied.
- 57. Denied.
- 58. Denied.
- 59. Denied.
- 60. Denied.
- 61. Denied.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Denied.

Affirmative Defenses

- 1. Plaintiff's claim fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.
- 2. Plaintiff's claim is barred by illegality because Prince George's County ordinances prohibit the ownership of pit bulls.
 - 3. Plaintiff's claim is or may be barred by the statute of limitations.
 - 4. Plaintiff's claim is barred by failure to meet a condition precedent.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Anthony D. Dwyer

Anthony D. Dwyer (415575) ECCLESTON & WOLF, P.C. Baltimore-Washington Law Center 7240 Parkway Drive, 4th Floor Hanover, MD 21076-1378 (410) 752-7474 (phone) (410) 752-0611 (fax)

E-mail: <u>dwyer@ewva.com</u> *Attorney for Defendants*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 15th day of May, 2024, copies of the foregoing **DEFENDANTS BROOKSIDE PARK CONDOMINIUM, INC., METROPOLIS (aka MCM, INC.), AND RAMMY AZOULAY'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT** were served by first-class mail, postage prepaid to:

Richard Bruce Rosenthal, Esq. 545 E. Jericho Turnpike Huntington Station, NY 11746 631.629.8111 (Phone richard@thedoglawyer.com Pro Hac Vice

/s/Anthony D. Dwyer
Anthony D. Dwyer (415575)